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ABSTRACT: In this work we apply the method for constructing binary LCD codes via an automorphism of prime order described in [3] and [4]. Thus we obtain all optimal LCD codes of lengths 26, 27 and 28 possessing an automorphism of order 13 with two cycles.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be a finite field with $q$ elements and $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ be the $n$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. The (Hamming) distance $d(x, y)$ between two vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ is the number of coordinate positions in which they differ. The (Hamming) weight $\mathrm{wt}(x)$ of a vector $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ is the number of its nonzero coordinates. A linear $[n, k, d]$ code $C$ is a $k$-dimensional subspace of the vector space $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$, where $d$ is the smallest weight among all non-zero codewords of $C$ is called the minimum weight (or minimum distance) of the code. A matrix which rows form a basis of $C$ is called a generator matrix of this code. Let $(u, v): \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n} \times \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}$ be an inner product in the linear space $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$. The dual code of $C$ is $C^{\perp}=\left\{u \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}:(u, v)=0\right.$ for all $v \in C\} . C^{\perp}$ is a linear $[n, n-k]$ code. If $C$ and $C^{\perp}$ are equivalent codes, $C$ is termed isodual and if $C=C^{\perp}, C$ is self-dual. A code $C$ is a linear complementary dual (LCD) code if $C \cap C^{\perp}=\{0\}$.

LCD codes over finite fields were introduced by Massey [7] in

[^0]1992 and they are an important class of codes for both theoretical and practical reasons [6]. The classification of LCD $[n, k]$ codes and determination of the largest minimum weight among all LCD $[n, k]$ codes, denoted by $d_{L C D}(n, k)$, are fundamental problems. A LCD $[n, k]$ code with the largest minimum weight among all LCD $[n, k]$ codes is an optimal code. The optimal binary LCD codes of length $n \leq 16$ are presented in [6] and all values of $d_{L C D}(n, k)$ for binary codes of lengths $n \leq 40$ are known [2].

Different methods have been used to study, construct and classify LCD codes with different parameters over different finite fields (see [6] and [5]). A method for constructing LCD binary codes via their automorphism is presented in [2] and [3]. In Section 2, applying this method we construct all optimal binary LCD codes with an automorphism of order 13 with two cycles of lengths 26, 27 and 28.

## 2 Automorphisms of order 13

Let $C$ be a binary LCD code of length $n=13 c+f$ and dimension $k$, invariant under the action of the group generated by $\sigma \in S_{n}$, where

$$
\sigma=(1,2, \ldots, 13) \ldots(13 c-12,13 c-11, \ldots, 13 c)
$$

is a permutation of order 13 with $c$ independent cycles. Then the code $C$ is a direct sum of its subcodes $F_{\sigma}(C)=\{v \in C: v \sigma=v\}$ and

$$
E_{\sigma}(C)=\left\{v \in C: \mathrm{wt}\left(v \mid \Omega_{i}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 2), i=1, \ldots, c+f\right\}
$$

where $v \mid \Omega_{i}$ is the restriction of $v$ on $\Omega_{i}$. According to [3, Theorem 2], the two subcodes are also LCD codes.

If $\pi: F_{\sigma}(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}^{c+f}$ is the projection map, i.e., $(\pi(v))_{i}=v_{j}$ for some $j \in \Omega_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, c+f$, then $C_{\pi}=\pi\left(F_{\sigma}(C)\right)$ is a binary LCD $\left[c+f, k_{\pi}, d_{\pi}\right]$ code [3, Lemma 3].

Denote by $E_{\sigma}(C)^{*}$ the code obtained from $E_{\sigma}(C)$ by deleting the last $f$ coordinates. For $v \in E_{\sigma}(C)^{*}$ we identify $v \mid \Omega_{i}=\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, \cdots, v_{12}\right)$ with the polynomial $v_{0}+v_{1} x+\cdots+v_{12} x^{12}$ from $\mathscr{P}$, where $\mathscr{P}$ is the set
of even-weight polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{2}[x] /\left(x^{13}-1\right)$. Thus we obtain the map $\varphi: E_{\sigma}(C)^{*} \rightarrow \mathscr{P}^{c}$. We have that $\mathscr{P}$ is a field with $2^{12}=4096$ elements and $\mathscr{P}^{*}=\left\{\beta^{i} \gamma^{j} \mid 0 \leq i \leq 64,0 \leq j \leq 62\right\}$, where $e=x+x^{2}+\cdots+x^{12}$ is the identity element, $\alpha=x e=1+x^{2}+\cdots+x^{12}$, is a primitive element, and $\beta=\alpha^{65}, \gamma=\alpha^{63}$. We take $\delta=\gamma^{13}$ so $\delta$ is an element of order 5.

On $\mathscr{P}^{c}$, we use the Hermitian inner product, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle u, v\rangle=\sum_{j=1}^{c} u_{j} v_{j}^{64} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{c}\right), v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{c}\right) \in \mathscr{P}^{c}$. The code $C_{\varphi}=\varphi\left(E_{\sigma}(C)^{*}\right)$ is a $\left[c, k_{\varphi}, d_{\varphi}\right]$ LCD code over the field $\mathscr{P}$ with respect to the Hermitian inner product (1). Obviously, $k=12 k_{\varphi}+k_{\pi}$.

Consider the case $c=2$. Then $C_{\varphi}$ must be a LCD code of length 2 over the field $\mathscr{P}$. Up to equivalence, if $k_{\varphi}=1$, we can take the generator matrix of $C_{\varphi}$ in the form $\left(\delta^{i}, \beta^{j}\right)$, where $0 \leq i \leq 4,0 \leq j \leq 62$, and $e+\beta^{2 j} \neq 0$, so $j \geq 1$.

There is a total of 20 codes $C_{\varphi}$, namely $C_{0}=\{(0,0)\}$, the codes with generators $\left\langle\left(e, \beta^{j}\right)\right\rangle$ for $j=1,3,5,7,9,11,21$ (denoted by $\left.C_{1}, \ldots, C_{7}\right)$; the codes with generators $\left\langle\left(\delta, \beta^{j}\right)\right\rangle$ for $j=1,2,3,5,6,7$, $9,10,11,21,22$ (denoted by $\left.C_{8}, \ldots, C_{18}\right)$; and $C_{19}=\mathscr{P}^{2}$.

The cases for the generator matrix of the code $F_{\sigma}(C)$, up to equivalence, are:

- $(O \mid A)$, where $A$ is a generator matrix of a $\left[f, k_{\pi}, d\right]$ binary LCD code;
$\cdot\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathbf{1}_{13} \mathbf{0}_{13} & x \\ O & A\end{array}\right)$, where $A$ is a $\left(k_{\pi}-1\right) \times f$ matrix and $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{f}$,
$k_{\pi} \geq 1 ;$
- $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathbf{1}_{13} \mathbf{1}_{13} & x \\ O & A\end{array}\right)$, where $A$ is a $\left(k_{\pi}-1\right) \times f$ matrix and $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{f}$,
$k_{\pi} \geq 1 ;$
$\cdot\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathbf{1}_{13} \mathbf{0}_{13} & x \\ \mathbf{0}_{13} \mathbf{1}_{13} & y \\ O & A\end{array}\right)$, where $A$ is a $\left(k_{\pi}-2\right) \times f$ matrix and $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{f}$,
$k_{\pi} \geq 2$.
In all cases $O$ is the zero matrix of appropriate size, and $\mathbf{0}_{s}, \mathbf{1}_{s}$ denotes the all-zero or all-ones vector of length $s$, respectively.

If $k_{\varphi}=2$ then $d\left(E_{\sigma}(C)\right)=2$ and so $C$ is a binary LCD code of length $26+f$ and minimum distance at most 2 . Therefore we will not consider these codes, they are not optimal. If $k_{\varphi}=0$ then $C=F_{\sigma}(C)$. The optimal LCD code of dimension 1 is $\langle(11 \ldots 10)\rangle$ for even $n$ and $\langle(11 \ldots 1)\rangle$ for odd $n$ and $\sigma$ is an automorphism of these codes for all $n \geq 27$.

Next we consider the cases $f=0,1$ and 2 .
$f=0)$ Then $C$ is a LCD $[26, k]$ code with $k=12 k_{\varphi}+k_{\pi}$. Since $C_{\pi}$ is a binary code of length $2, k_{\pi} \leq 2$.

- $\left.k_{\varphi}=0\right)$ Then $k=k_{\pi} \leq 2$. Hence $C=\{0\}, C=\left\langle\left(\mathbf{1}_{13} \mathbf{0}_{13}\right)\right\rangle$ or $C=$ $\left\langle\binom{\mathbf{1}_{13} \mathbf{0}_{13}}{\mathbf{0}_{13} \mathbf{1}_{13}}\right\rangle$.
- $k_{\varphi}=1$ ) Then $k=12+k_{\pi}=12,13$ or 14 . From $C_{7}$ we obtain 3 optimal LCD codes $C_{26,1}, C_{26,2}$ and $C_{26,3}$ with parameters $[26,12,8],[26,13,7]$ and $[26,14,6]$, respectively from $C_{\pi}=\{0\}$, $C_{\pi}=\left\langle\mathbf{0}_{13} \mathbf{1}_{13}\right\rangle$ and $C_{\pi}=\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathbf{1}_{13} & \mathbf{0}_{13} \\ \mathbf{0}_{13} & \mathbf{1}_{13}\end{array}\right)\right\rangle$. These codes have automorphism groups of orders 78,78 and 156 , respectively. The LCD code $C_{26,2}$ is an isodual code, too.
- $k_{\varphi}=2$ ) Then $k=24+k_{\pi}$. The obtained LCD codes $C$ are dual to the codes constructed in the case $k_{\varphi}=0$.
$f=1)$ Then $C_{\pi}$ is a binary $\left[3, k_{\pi}, d_{\pi}\right]$ LCD code. There are 6 such codes, namely $\{(000)\},\langle(100)\rangle,\langle(111)\rangle,\langle(100),(010)\rangle,\langle(101),(011)\rangle$, and $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{3}$. The optimal among the constructed LCD codes are:
- $\left.k_{\varphi}=0\right)$ The $[27,1,27]$ and $[27,2,14]$ codes with generator matrices $\left(\mathbf{1}_{13} \mathbf{1}_{13} 1\right)$ and $\binom{\mathbf{1}_{13} \mathbf{0}_{13} 1}{\mathbf{0}_{13} \mathbf{1}_{13} 1}$, respectively. If $k_{\pi}=1$ we have two optimal $[27,13,7]$ LCD codes when $C_{\pi}=\langle(111)\rangle$ and $C_{\pi}=\langle(100)\rangle$, with $|\operatorname{Aut}(C)|=156$ and 78 , respectively.

If $k_{\pi}=2$ we have found 14 optimal [27,14,6] LCD codes given in Table 1.

| $C_{\varphi}$ | $C_{\pi}$ | $\|\operatorname{Aut}(C)\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{7}$ | $\langle(100),(010)\rangle$ | 156 |
| $C_{1}$ | $\langle(101),(011)\rangle$ | 26 |
| $C_{5}$ | $\langle(101),(011)\rangle$ | 52 |
| $C_{6}$ | $\langle(101),(011)\rangle$ | 26 |
| $C_{7}$ | $\langle(101),(011)\rangle$ | 156 |
| $C_{8}$ | $\langle(101),(011)\rangle$ | 13 |
| $C_{9}$ | $\langle(101),(011)\rangle$ | 13 |
| $C_{10}$ | $\langle(101),(011)\rangle$ | 13 |
| $C_{12}$ | $\langle(101),(011)\rangle$ | 13 |
| $C_{13}$ | $\langle(101),(011)\rangle$ | 13 |
| $C_{14}$ | $\langle(101),(011)\rangle$ | 13 |
| $C_{15}$ | $\langle(101),(011)\rangle$ | 13 |
| $C_{16}$ | $\langle(101),(011)\rangle$ | 13 |
| $C_{18}$ | $\langle(101),(011)\rangle$ | 13 |

Table 1: $[27,14,6]$ LCD codes
$f=2)$ Then $C_{\pi}$ is a binary $\left[4, k_{\pi}, d_{\pi}\right]$ LCD code. The binary LCD codes of length 4 are $A_{0}=\{(0000)\}, A_{1}=\langle(1000)\rangle, A_{2}=\langle(1110)\rangle$, $A_{3}=\langle(1000),(0100)\rangle, A_{4}=\langle(1000),(0111)\rangle, A_{5}=\langle(1010),(0110)\rangle$, $A_{6}=\langle(1010),(0111)\rangle, A_{1}^{\perp}, A_{2}^{\perp}$, and $\mathbb{F}_{2}^{4}$. The optimal among the constructed LCD codes are:

- $\left.k_{\varphi}=0\right)$ Only the $[28,1,27]$ code with a generator matrix $\left(\mathbf{1}_{27} 0\right)$ is optimal in this case.
- $k_{\varphi}=1$ ) If $k_{\pi}=1$ we have one optimal [28, 13, 8$]$ LCD code when $C_{\pi}=\langle(1011)\rangle$ and $C_{\varphi}=C_{7}$.
If $k_{\pi}=2$ we have 2 optimal [28, 14, 7] LCD codes all with $C_{\varphi}=$ $C_{7}$ for $C_{\pi}=\langle(1000),(0111)\rangle$ and $C_{\pi}=\langle(1010),(0111)\rangle$, having automorphism groups of orders 156 and 78 , respectively.

For cumputer calculations of equivalences and automorphism groups of the constructed codes we have used the software package QEXTENTION [1].
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