

## STRUCTURE AND ISOMORPHISM OF SOME CLASSES FINITE DIMENSIONAL COMMUTATIVE SEMI-SIMPLE ALGEBRAS

YORDAN Y. EPITROPOV, TODOR ZH. MOLLOV, NAKO A. NACHEV

**ABSTRACT:** Let  $p$  be a prime and  $F$  be a field of characteristic, different from  $p$ . In the present paper we define the concept  $p$ -cyclotomic algebra over the field  $F$  of characteristic, different from  $p$ . We examine, up to isomorphism, the structure of the finite-dimensional commutative semi-simple  $p$ -cyclotomic algebras over  $F$ . We discover necessary and sufficient conditions for an algebra over  $F$  to be isomorphic as an  $F$ -algebra of a finite-dimensional commutative  $p$ -cyclotomic algebra over  $F$ . We give a criterion when an algebra over a field  $F$  of characteristic, different from  $p$ , can be represented as a group algebra of a finite abelian  $p$ -group over  $F$ .

**KEYWORDS:** Commutative semi-simple algebra, Commutative group algebra, Finite abelian  $p$ -group

**Mathematics Subject Classification 2000:** 16S34, 20C05

### 1. Structure and isomorphism of finite-dimensional commutative semi-simple $p$ -cyclotomic algebras

Let  $p$  be a prime,  $F$  be field of characteristic, different from  $p$  and let  $\varepsilon_j$  be a primitive  $p^j$ -th root of the unit in algebraic closure of  $F$ , where  $j$  is a non-negative integer. With  $F(\varepsilon_i)$  we denote the extension of the field  $F$  with  $\varepsilon_i$ . Then the condition  $F \subseteq F(\varepsilon_1) \subseteq \dots \subseteq F(\varepsilon_n) \subseteq \dots$  is satisfied.

Following S. Berman [1], we call the field  $F$  a *field of the second kind with respect to the prime  $p$* , if the degree of the extension

$F(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots)$  of  $F$  is finite, i.e. if  $(F(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots): F) < \infty$ . Otherwise we will call  $F$  a *field of the first kind with respect to  $p$* . G. Karpilovsky shows [3], that if  $F$  is a field of the second kind with respect to  $p$  and (i)  $p$  is odd, then  $F(\varepsilon_j) = F(\varepsilon_1)$  for every natural number  $j$ ; (ii) if  $p = 2$ , then  $F(\varepsilon_j) = F(\varepsilon_2)$  for every natural number  $j \geq 2$ .

If  $F$  is a field of the first kind with respect to  $p$ , then there exists a natural  $m$ , which is called a *constant of the field  $F$  with respect to  $p$*  [1], such that  $F(\varepsilon_q) = F(\varepsilon_{q+1}) = \dots = F(\varepsilon_m) \subset F(\varepsilon_{m+1}) \subset \dots$  is fulfilled, where  $q = 1$  for  $p \neq 2$  and  $q = 2$  for  $p = 2$ . If  $F$  is a field of the first kind with respect to  $p$  with constant  $m$ , then  $F(\varepsilon_i)$  is a field of the first kind with respect to  $p$  with constant  $i$  for  $i \geq m$  and with constant  $m$  for  $i < m$  with respect to  $p$ .

For fields of the second kind with respect to  $p$  we put the constant  $m = \infty$ .

If  $F$  is a field of characteristic, different from the prime  $p$ , then Mollov [5] introduces the concept spectrum of the field  $F$  with respect to  $p$  and he gives the following definition: if  $F$  is a field and  $p$  is a prime, then the set

$$s_p(F) = \{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid F(\varepsilon_i) \neq F(\varepsilon_{i+1})\}$$

is called a *spectrum of the field  $F$  with respect to  $p$* .

When  $F$  is a field of the first kind with respect to  $p$  with constant  $f$  then, for the spectrum of  $F$  the following holds [5]: 1) if  $p \neq 2$  and  $F \neq F(\varepsilon_1)$ , then  $s_p(F) = \{0, m, m+1, \dots\}$ ; 2) if  $p \neq 2$

and  $F = F(\varepsilon_1)$  or if  $p = 2$  and  $F = F(\varepsilon_2)$ , then  $s_p(F) = \{m, m+1, \dots\}$ ; 3) if  $p = 2$  and  $F \neq F(\varepsilon_2)$ , then  $s_p(F) = \{1, m, m+1, \dots\}$ .

When  $F$  is a field of the second kind with respect to  $p$ , then we have  $F \subseteq F(\varepsilon_1) = F(\varepsilon_2) = \dots$  for  $p \neq 2$  and  $F = F(\varepsilon_1) \subseteq F(\varepsilon_2) = F(\varepsilon_3) = \dots$  for  $p = 2$ . Then the spectrum of the field  $F$  of the second kind is: 1)  $s_p(F) = \emptyset$  for 1.1)  $p \neq 2$  and  $F = F(\varepsilon_1)$  or 1.2) for  $p = 2$  and  $F = F(\varepsilon_2)$ ; 2)  $s_p(F) = \{0\}$  for  $p \neq 2$  and  $F \neq F(\varepsilon_1)$ ; 3)  $s_p(F) = \{1\}$  for  $p = 2$  and  $F \neq F(\varepsilon_2)$ .

**Definition 1.1 .** Let  $p$  be a prime,  $F$  be a field of characteristic, different from  $p$  and let  $L$  be an extension of  $F$ . The field  $L$  is called  *$p$ -cyclotomic extension of the field  $F$* , if it is obtained from  $F$  by joining only of  $p^i$ -th roots of the unit ( $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

**Definition 1.2 .** Let  $p$  be a prime,  $F$  be a field of characteristic, different from  $p$  and let  $A$  be an algebra over  $F$ . The algebra  $A$  is called a  *$p$ -cyclotomic algebra over the field  $F$* , if every field, which is contained in  $A$ , is  $p$ -cyclotomic extension of the field  $F$ .

We will show some elementary examples of  $p$ -cyclotomic algebras. Namely, let the field  $L$  be a  $p$ -cyclotomic extension of  $F$  (in particular  $L = F$ ). Then:

- 1) the field  $L$  is a  $p$ -cyclotomic  $F$ -algebra;
- 2) the group algebra  $LG$  of an abelian  $p$ -group  $G$  over  $L$  is a  $p$ -cyclotomic  $F$ -algebra and a  $p$ -cyclotomic  $L$ -algebra;

3) the ring  $L[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots]$  of the polynomials of  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots$  over  $L$  is a  $p$ -cyclotomic  $F$ -algebra and a  $p$ -cyclotomic  $L$ -algebra;

4) the direct sum of  $p$ -cyclotomic  $F$ -algebras is a  $p$ -cyclotomic  $F$ -algebra.

**Theorem 1.1 (Structure).** *Let  $p$  be a prime,  $F$  be a field of characteristic, different from  $p$ , and let  $F$  be a field of the second kind with respect to the prime  $p$ . Let  $A$  be finite-dimensional commutative semi-simple  $p$ -cyclotomic algebra over the field  $F$ . Then*

$$(1.1) \quad A \cong F \oplus \dots \oplus F \oplus F(\varepsilon_2) \oplus \dots \oplus F(\varepsilon_2),$$

holds where  $\varepsilon_2$  is a primitive  $p^2$ -th root of 1.

**Proof.** Let  $\dim_F A = n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). According to the structural theorem of Wedderburn [6], applied to the finite-dimensional semi-simple algebra  $A$  over the field  $F$ , we obtain

$$A \cong M_{n_1}(D_1) \oplus M_{n_2}(D_2) \oplus \dots \oplus M_{n_s}(D_s),$$

where  $\sum_{i=1}^s \dim_F D_i = \sum_{i=1}^s n_i^2 = n$  and  $D_i$  are algebras with division over the field  $F$  for  $i=1, 2, \dots, s$ . Since  $A$  is a commutative algebra, then  $M_{n_i}(D_i)$  are commutative algebras. Therefore  $n_i=1$  for every  $i=1, 2, \dots, s$ . Besides, the algebras  $D_i$  have to be commutative for every  $i=1, 2, \dots, s$ . Therefore they are fields. Since  $A$  is a  $p$ -cyclotomic algebra over the field  $F$ , then the fields  $D_i$  are  $p$ -cyclotomic extensions of  $F$ . Since  $F$  is a field of the second kind

with respect to the prime  $p$ , then the possible  $p$ -cyclotomic extensions of the field  $F$  are either of the kind  $F$ , or of the kind  $F(\varepsilon_2)$ . #

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $p$  be a prime,  $F$  be a field of characteristic, different from  $p$ , and let  $F$  be a field of the second kind with respect to the prime  $p$ . Let  $A$  be finite-dimensional commutative semi-simple  $p$ -cyclotomic algebra over the field  $F$ . The number  $r_A$  of the direct summands  $F$  in the decomposition (1.1) we will call *real cardinality of  $A$* .

Further the direct sum of  $n$  fields  $F$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is denoted by  $nF$ .

If  $F$  is a field of the second kind with respect to the prime  $p$  and  $A$  is a finite-dimensional commutative semi-simple  $p$ -cyclotomic algebra over  $F$ , then we can change (1.1) the following way.

1) if 1.1)  $p \neq 2$  and  $F = F(\varepsilon_1)$  or if 1.2)  $p = 2$  and  $F = F(\varepsilon_2)$ , then  $A \cong \lambda_0 F$ ,  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

2) if  $p \neq 2$  and  $F \neq F(\varepsilon_1)$ , then  $A \cong \lambda_0 F \oplus \lambda_1 F(\varepsilon_1)$ ,  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

3) if  $p = 2$  and  $F \neq F(\varepsilon_2)$ , then  $A \cong \lambda_0 F \oplus \lambda_2 F(\varepsilon_2)$ ,  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

This commentary gives us the possibility to give the following definition.

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $A$  be a finite-dimensional commutative semi-simple  $p$ -cyclotomic algebra over the field  $F$  of the second kind with respect to the prime  $p$ . A *characteristic system of  $A$*  we will call the systems  $\{\lambda_0\}$  in case 1);  $\{\lambda_0, \lambda_1\}$  in case 2);  $\{\lambda_0, \lambda_2\}$  in case 3).

**Proposition 1.2 (Isomorphism).** *If  $A$  is a finite-dimensional commutative semi-simple  $p$ -cyclotomic algebra over the field  $F$  of characteristic, different from the prime  $p$  and  $F$  is of the second kind with respect to  $p$  and  $B$  is an arbitrary  $F$ -algebra, then  $B \cong A$  as  $F$ -algebras if and only if  $B$  is a finite-dimensional commutative semi-simple  $p$ -cyclotomic algebra over  $F$  and the characteristic systems of  $A$  and  $B$  coincide.*

**Proof.** Obviously  $B \cong A$  as  $F$ -algebras if and only if  $B$  is an finite-dimensional commutative semi-simple  $p$ -cyclotomic algebra over  $F$ . Further, the proof follows from Definition 1.4 and the three cases of the commentary of Definition 1.3. #

Proposition 1.2. can be expressed in the following equivalent form:

**Proposition 1.3 (Isomorphism).** *If  $A$  is a finite-dimensional commutative semi-simple  $p$ -cyclotomic algebra over the field  $F$  of the second kind with respect to the prime  $p$  and  $B$  is an arbitrary  $F$ -algebra, then  $B \cong A$  as  $F$ -algebras if and only if all of the following conditions are fulfilled:*

(i)  $B$  is a finite-dimensional commutative semi-simple  $p$ -cyclotomic algebra over  $F$ ;

(ii)  $\dim_F B = \dim_F A$ ;

(iii)  $r_B = r_A$ .

**Proof.** When  $F$  is a field of the second kind with respect to  $p$ , then the characteristic system of  $A$  determines uniquely the invariants  $\dim_F A$  and  $r_A$  and vice versa. #

**Theorem 1.4 (Structure).** *Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic,*

different from the prime  $p$ , and let  $F$  be of the first kind with respect to  $p$  and let  $A$  be a finite-dimensional commutative semi-simple  $p$ -cyclotomic algebra over  $F$ . Then the following direct decomposition holds

$$(1.2) \quad A \cong \sum_{i \in s_p(F)} \lambda_i F(\varepsilon_i), \quad \lambda_i \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

where only a finite number of numbers  $\lambda_i$  are different from 0.

The proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $A$  be a finite-dimensional commutative semi-simple  $p$ -cyclotomic algebra over the field  $F$  of the first kind with respect to the prime  $p$ . The system  $\{\lambda_i | i \in s_p(F)\}$ , where  $\lambda_i$  are the numbers from (1.2) we call *characteristic system of  $A$* .

**Proposition 1.5 (Isomorphism).** If  $A$  is a finite-dimensional commutative semi-simple  $p$ -cyclotomic algebra over the field  $F$  of characteristic, different from the prime  $p$ ,  $F$  is a field of the first kind with respect to  $p$  and  $B$  is an arbitrary  $F$ -algebra, then  $B \cong A$  as  $F$ -algebras if and only if  $B$  is a finite-dimensional commutative semi-simple  $p$ -cyclotomic algebra over  $F$  and the characteristic systems of  $A$  and  $B$  coincide.

**Proof.** Obviously  $B \cong A$  as  $F$ -algebras if and only if  $B$  is a finite-dimensional commutative semi-simple  $p$ -cyclotomic algebra over the field  $F$ . Further, the proof follows from Definition 1.5 and Theorem 1.4. #

Since the field  $F$  of characteristic, different from the prime  $p$ , is either of the first kind or of the second kind with respect to  $p$ , then Theorems 1.2 and 1.5 give the following general result:

**Theorem 1.6 (Isomorphism).** *If  $A$  is a finite-dimensional commutative semi-simple  $p$ -cyclotomic algebra over the field  $F$  with characteristic, different from the prime  $p$ , and  $B$  is an arbitrary  $F$ -algebra, then  $B \cong A$  as  $F$ -algebras if and only if  $B$  is a finite-dimensional commutative semi-simple  $p$ -cyclotomic algebra over  $F$  and the characteristic systems of  $A$  and  $B$  coincide.*

If  $G$  is a finite abelian  $p$ -group,  $F$  is a field of characteristic, different from  $p$ , then  $FG$  is a finite-dimensional commutative semi-simple algebra and, according to Example 2, is a  $p$ -cyclotomic  $F$ -algebra. We will denote by  $r_{FG}$  the real cardinality of the  $F$ -algebra  $FG$ . Furthermore, if  $G$  is a finite abelian  $p$ -group, we will denote  $G[p^i] = \{g \in G \mid g^{p^i} = 1\}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

Lemma 1 of Mollov [4] is in fact a structural theorem for a group algebra of a finite abelian  $p$ -group and can be formulated the following way:

**Theorem 1.7 (Structure).** *If  $G$  is a finite abelian  $p$ -group and  $F$  is a field of the first kind with respect to  $p$ , then*

$$FG \cong \sum_{i \in s_p(F)} \lambda_i F(\varepsilon_i),$$

where

$$(1.3) \quad \lambda_i = \begin{cases} \frac{|G[p^i]| - |G[p^j]|}{(F(\varepsilon_i): F)} & \text{if } i \neq i_0, \\ |G[p^{i_0}]| & \text{if } i = i_0, \end{cases},$$



$i_0$  is the smallest number of  $s_p(F)$  and  $j$  is the maximal number of  $s_p(F)$ , which is less than  $i$ .

## 2. Some group-theoretic results

In this Section we shall prove some results for finite abelian  $p$ -groups, where  $p$  is a prime. If  $G$  is abelian  $p$ -group, then the sets  $G^{p^i} = \{g^{p^i} | g \in G\}$  and  $G[p^i] = \{g \in G | g^{p^i} = 1\}$  are subgroups of the group  $G$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , where  $\mathbb{N}_0$  is the set of non-negative integer. For those subgroups we have

$$(2.1) \quad G^{p^{i+1}} \leq G^{p^i} \quad \text{and} \quad G[p^i] \leq G[p^{i+1}].$$

If  $G$  is finite then there exists a natural number  $k$ , such that  $G^{p^k} = 1$ . Let  $k$  be the smallest number with this property. Then we shall call the number  $p^k$  *exponent of the group  $G$*  and we shall denote it with  $\exp G$ . The inclusions in (2.1) are strong if and only if  $p^i < \exp G$ .

Consider the factor-groups  $G^{p^{i-1}}[p]/G^{p^i}[p]$  for each  $i \in N$ . These factor-groups are elementary abelian  $p$ -groups and therefore they are linear spaces over the Galois field  $GF(p)$ . Put

$$\alpha_i = \dim_{GF(p)}(G^{p^{i-1}}[p]/G^{p^i}[p]), \quad i \in N.$$

The number  $\alpha_i$  is called  *$i$ -th Ulm-Kaplansky invariant of the group  $G$*  and is denoted by  $f_i(G)$ . Let  $r(G)$  be the rank of the abelian  $p$ -group  $G$  [2]. Then it holds  $f_i(G) = r(G^{p^{i-1}}[p]/G^{p^i}[p])$ ,  $i \in N$ . Therefore,  $f_i(G)$  is the number of direct factors of order  $p^i$  in the

direct decomposition of  $G$  of cyclic  $p$ -subgroups. For the finite abelian  $p$ -group  $G$  the Ulm-Kaplansky invariants form a complete system of invariants and therefore they define the group  $G$  up to isomorphism. For infinite abelian  $p$ -groups the Ulm-Kaplansky invariants are determined the same way, namely  $i$  are ordinal numbers. The largest class of abelian  $p$ -groups, for which the Ulm-Kaplansky invariants form a complete system of invariants, are the totally-projective groups. For groups outside of this class this is not the case.

For the finite abelian  $p$ -groups the Ulm-Kaplansky invariants not only do form a complete system of invariants, but they are also independent of each other. That means that if we chose  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  to be arbitrary non-negative integers then there shall exists a finite abelian  $p$ -group  $G$ , for which the chosen numbers shall be the Ulm-Kaplansky invariants of  $G$ . This group is unique up to isomorphism and for  $\alpha_n \neq 0$  we have  $\exp G = p^n$ . For infinite abelian  $p$ -groups the case is different.

Put

$$(2.2) \quad |G[p^i]| = p^{\beta_i}.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *As per (2.2) if  $p^i \leq \exp G$ , then  $\beta_i \geq i$ . An equality is achieved if and only if  $G$  is a cyclic group.*

The proof follows from (2.2) and from the second inequalities of (2.1). #

In the next lemma we shall denote with  $p^n$  the exponent of the finite abelian  $p$ -group  $G$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *The numbers  $\beta_i$  from (2.2) are determined from the Ulm-Kaplansky invariants by*

$$(2.3) \quad \beta_i = \sum_{j=1}^i j\alpha_j + i \sum_{j=i+1}^n \alpha_j = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \dots + i\alpha_i + i\alpha_{i+1} + \dots + i\alpha_n$$

for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  where  $n = \log_p(\exp G)$ .

**Proof.** Decompose  $G$  in a direct product of cyclic  $p$ -groups. This decomposition implies a respective decomposition of  $G[p^i]$ . It contains all cyclic direct factors of  $G$ , whose orders do not exceed  $p^i$ . When  $i < n$  each of the rest of the factors contain exactly one subgroup of order  $p^i$ . The number of these factors is equal to  $\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+2} + \dots + \alpha_n$  and the order of their direct product is  $p^{is}$ , where  $s = \alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+2} + \dots + \alpha_n$ . The order of the direct product of the cyclic factors of  $G$ , whose orders do not exceed  $p^i$  is  $p^t$ , where  $t = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \dots + i\alpha_i$ . Then the order of  $G[p^i]$  is  $p^{t+is}$ , from where (2.3) follows. #

Lemma 2.2 gives an expression of the numbers  $\beta_i$  by  $\alpha_i$ . Now we shall find  $\alpha_i$ , expressed by  $\beta_i$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *For the numbers  $\alpha_i$  from (2.3) we have*

$$(2.4) \quad \alpha_1 = 2\beta_1 - \beta_2, \quad \alpha_i = 2\beta_i - \beta_{i-1} - \beta_{i+1} \text{ for } i = 2, 3, \dots, n-1, \\ \alpha_n = \beta_n - \beta_{n-1}, \quad \alpha_{n+k} = 0 \text{ for } k \in N.$$

The proof of this Lemma has a purely technical character.

We know that in order to exist an abelian  $p$ -group with invariants

$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ , these numbers can be arbitrary non-negative integers. We see from Lemma 2.3 that the numbers  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$  can not be arbitrary non-negative because some of  $\alpha_i$  could obtain negative values. Now we shall establish the conditions which the numbers  $\beta_i$  must satisfy so that there exists a finite abelian  $p$ -group  $G$ , for which  $|G[p^i]| = p^{\beta_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n = \log_p |G|$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *There exists a finite abelian  $p$ -group  $G$  with  $\exp G = p^n$  and  $|G[p^i]| = p^{\beta_i}$  if and only if the numbers  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$  satisfy the inequalities*

$$(2.5) \quad \beta_1 \geq \beta_2 - \beta_1 \geq \beta_3 - \beta_2 \geq \dots \geq \beta_n - \beta_{n-1} > 0$$

**Proof.** Let there exists such a group  $G$  so that the Ulm-Kaplansky invariants of  $G$  are  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ . Then they shall be determined by the formulas (2.4) of Lemma 2.3. Since the invariants  $\alpha_i$  are non-negative integers then (2.4) implies the inequalities (2.5).

Conversely if the inequalities (2.5) are satisfied, then (2.4) determine  $\alpha_i$  and we have  $\alpha_i \geq 0$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  and  $\alpha_n > 0$ . Then for the group  $G$ , determined by these Ulm-Kaplansky invariants, we have  $\exp G = p^n$  and  $|G[p^i]| = p^{\beta_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . #

For the numbers  $\beta_i$  there is one more inequality, which we will need later.

**Lemma 2.5.** *If  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  and the numbers  $\beta_i, \beta_j$  are from (2.2), then  $i\beta_j \leq j\beta_i$ .*

The proof of this Lemma has a purely technical character.

Now we shall deduce a criterion that would ensure the existence of a finite abelian  $p$ -group  $G$ , if only some of the values of  $|G[p^i]|$  are given. To this aim we shall give the following definitions.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $m < n$  are natural numbers and let  $\beta_m, \beta_{m+1}, \dots, \beta_n$  be a system of natural numbers. We shall call this system *normal of the first type* if it satisfies the following inequalities

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{1}{m} \beta_m \geq \beta_{m+1} - \beta_m \geq \beta_{m+2} - \beta_{m+1} \geq \dots \geq \beta_n - \beta_{n-1} > 0.$$

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $m < n$  are natural numbers and let  $\beta_1, \beta_m, \beta_{m+1}, \dots, \beta_n$  be a system of natural numbers. We shall call this system *normal of the second type* if it satisfies the following inequalities

$$(2.7) \quad \beta_1 \geq \frac{1}{m} \beta_m \geq \beta_{m+1} - \beta_m \geq \beta_{m+2} - \beta_{m+1} \geq \dots \geq \beta_n - \beta_{n-1} > 0.$$

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $m < n$  be natural numbers and let a normal system of the first or the second type be given. Then there exists a finite abelian  $p$ -group  $G$ , such that  $|G[p^i]| = p^{\beta_i}$ , where  $\beta_i$  are the numbers of the given normal system.

**Proof.** 1). Let the given normal system be of the first type. From the first inequality in (2.6) we get  $(m+1)\beta_m - m\beta_{m+1} \geq 0$ . Consequently there exists an abelian  $p$ -group  $A$ , for which  $|A| = p^{(m+1)\beta_m - m\beta_{m+1}}$ . Choose  $A$  such that  $\exp A \leq p^m$ . This is possible because the limit of  $\exp A$  above does not affect on the choice of  $A$ . Let now us make an abelian group  $B$ , for which

$\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \dots = \alpha_m = 0$ ,  $\alpha_i = 2\beta_i - \beta_{i-1} - \beta_{i+1}$  for  
 $i = m+1, m+2, \dots, n-1$  when  $m+1 < n$ ,  $\alpha_n = \beta_n - \beta_{n-1}$ . If  
 $m+1 = n$ , then we put  $\alpha_i = 0$  for  $i \leq n-1$ . In view of (2.6) these  
settings are possible. Now let us put  $G = A \times B$ . It can be  
immediately verified that the group  $G$  satisfies the conditions of the  
theorem.

2) Now let the normal system be of the second type. From the first  
two inequalities of (2.7) follows  $\beta_1 + \beta_m - \beta_{m+1} \geq 0$ . Then there  
exists an abelian  $p$ -group  $A$ , for which  $|A[p]| = p^{\beta_1 + \beta_m - \beta_{m+1}}$  and  
 $\exp A \leq p^m$ . The maximum order of such group is  $p^s$ , where  
 $s = m(\beta_1 + \beta_m - \beta_{m+1})$ . We put  $t = (m+1)\beta_m - m\beta_{m+1}$ . From the  
first inequality of (2.7) we have  $s \geq t$  and from the second we have  
 $t \geq 0$ . Then  $A$  can be chosen such that  $|A| = p^t$ . Further we choose  
an abelian group  $B$  as in case 1). This is possible, because all the  
inequalities in (2.6) participate in (2.7). Then the group  $G = A \times B$   
satisfies the required conditions. #

**Note.** The group  $G$ , defined in the proof of Theorem 2.5 is not  
unique, because  $A$  is not determined uniquely. In case 1)  $A$  will be  
unique if and only if in the first inequality of (2.6) we have equality  
and then we obtain  $A = 1$ . In case 2)  $A$  is unique if and only if in the  
first two inequalities of (2.7) we have equality and then we obtain  
 $A = 1$ .

### 3. Algebras of the first and the second kind with respect to a prime number

**Definition 3.1.** Finite-dimensional commutative semi-simple  $p$ -  
cyclotomic  $F$ -algebra  $A$  is called *algebra of the first kind with  
respect to  $p$* , if the field  $F$  is of the first kind with respect to  $p$  and  
in the direct decomposition of  $F$ -algebra  $A$  in direct sum of fields

$F(\varepsilon_i)$  participates at least one field  $F(\varepsilon_n)$ , for which  $\lambda_n \neq 0$  and  $n > m$ , where  $m$  is the constant of the field  $F$  with respect to  $p$ . Otherwise the  $F$ -algebra  $A$  is called *algebra of the second kind with respect to  $p$* . If  $A$  is an algebra of the first kind with respect to  $p$ , then the largest number  $n$  with the above indicated properties is called the *exponent of  $A$* .

We note that if  $A$  is an algebra of the second kind with respect to  $p$  over the field  $F$ , then  $F$  can be either of the first kind or of the second kind with respect to  $p$ . Moreover if  $p \neq 2$ , then in the direct decomposition of this algebra  $A$  only fields of the form  $F$  and  $F(\varepsilon_1)$  will participate. For  $p = 2$  these fields will be of the form  $F$  and  $F(\varepsilon_2)$ .

Later we shall use the dimensions of  $F(\varepsilon_i)$  over  $F$  and we shall determine them now. When  $p \neq 2$  we set  $d = (F(\varepsilon_1):F)$ . Let  $d = (F(\varepsilon_2):F)$  for  $p = 2$ . When  $p \neq 2$  we have  $d/(p-1)$  and for  $p = 2$  we have  $d/2$ . If  $p \neq 2$ , then  $d = 1$  if and only if  $F = F(\varepsilon_1)$ . If  $p = 2$ , then  $d = 1$  if and only if  $F = F(\varepsilon_2)$  and  $d = 2$  if and only if  $F \neq F(\varepsilon_2)$ . If  $F$  is of the first kind with respect to  $p$  and  $i \geq m$ , then  $(F(\varepsilon_i):F) = dp^{i-m}$ .

Now we can give special direct decompositions of the algebra  $A$ , which we will call *canonical*, namely:

1) If the algebra  $A$  is of the first kind with respect to  $p$ , with exponent  $n$ , then the decomposition of  $A$  is

$$(3.1) \quad A \cong \lambda_0 F \oplus \lambda_m F(\varepsilon_m) \oplus \dots \oplus \lambda_n F(\varepsilon_n).$$

2) If  $A$  is of the second kind with respect to  $p$ , then

$$(3.2) \quad A \cong \lambda_0 F,$$

if  $d = 1$ ,

$$(3.3) \quad A \cong \lambda_0 F \oplus \lambda_1 F(\varepsilon_1),$$

if  $p \neq 2$  and  $d > 1$ ,

$$(3.4) \quad A \cong \lambda_0 F \oplus \lambda_2 F(\varepsilon_2),$$

if  $p = d = 2$ .

The numbers  $\lambda_i$ , determined respectively in (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) or (3.4), are called *characteristic numbers of  $A$*  and we shall say that they form a *characteristic system of  $A$* . These numbers form a complete invariant system of  $A$  and determine it up to  $F$ -isomorphism.

For an algebra of the first kind with respect to  $p$  when  $\lambda_m \neq 0$  we shall introduce one more system of numeric invariants. Let us denote

$$(3.5) \quad \beta_i = \log_p (\lambda_0 + d\lambda_m + pd\lambda_{m+1} + \dots + p^{i-m}d\lambda_i),$$

$$i = m, m+1, \dots, n.$$

For  $p = d = 2$  and  $\lambda_0 \neq 0$  we set

$$(3.6) \quad \beta_1 = \log_2 \lambda_0.$$

Since the numbers  $\lambda_0, \lambda_m, \lambda_{m+1}, \dots, \lambda_n$  are non-negative and  $\lambda_m \neq 0$ , then the logarithms in (3.5) make sense. This holds also for



the logarithm in (3.6).

When  $p \neq 2$  or  $p = 2 = d + 1$  we say that the numbers (3.5) form a *special characteristic system of  $A$* . When  $p = d = 2$  a *special characteristic system of  $A$*  form the numbers (3.5) and (3.6).

With the help of the formulas (3.5) and (3.6) the numbers  $\beta_i$  are determined by  $\lambda_0, \lambda_m, \lambda_{m+1}, \dots, \lambda_n$ .

The numbers  $\lambda_i$  can be determined by the numbers  $\beta_i$ , namely

$$(3.7) \quad \lambda_m = \frac{p^{\beta_m} - \lambda_0}{d},$$

$$(3.8) \quad \lambda_i = \frac{p^{\beta_i} - p^{\beta_{i-1}}}{dp^{i-m}} \text{ for } i = m, m+1, \dots, n,$$

and for  $p = d = 2$  we have  $\lambda_0 = 2^{\beta_1}$ . This shows that the special characteristic system, combined with the number  $\lambda_0$ , form a complete invariant system of the algebra  $A$ .

For an algebra of the second kind with respect to  $p$  we do not determine a special characteristic system.

#### 4. Representation of a $F$ -algebra as a group algebra

Now we shall clarify on when an algebra over a field  $F$  of characteristic different from the prime  $p$  can be represented as a group algebra of a finite abelian  $p$ -group over  $F$ . The answer to that question is in the following main result:

**Theorem 4.1.** *An algebra  $A$  over a field  $F$  of characteristic,*

different from the prime  $p$ , is isomorphic to group algebra  $FG$  of some finite abelian  $p$ -group  $G$  if and only if the following conditions are fulfilled:

1)  $A$  is a finite-dimensional, commutative, semi-simple and  $p$ -cyclotomic algebra over  $F$ ;

2) if  $A$  is of the first kind with respect to  $p$  with an exponent  $n$  and the constant of the field  $F$  with respect to  $p$  is  $m$ , then for  $p \neq 2$  or  $p = 2 = d + 1$  we have  $\lambda_m \neq 0$ , the special characteristic system of  $A$  consists of positive integer and is normal of first type and

$$(4.1) \quad \lambda_0 = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } d = 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } p \neq 2, d > 1. \end{cases}$$

For  $p = d = 2$  we have  $\lambda_0 \neq 0$ , the special characteristic system of  $A$  consists of positive integers and it is normal of the second type;

3) If  $A$  is of the second kind with respect to  $p$ , then

$$(4.2) \quad \lambda_0 = p^s, \quad s \geq 0 \text{ is integer, if } d = 1;$$

$$(4.3) \quad \lambda_0 = 1, \quad \lambda_1 = \frac{p^s - 1}{d}, \quad s \geq 0 \text{ is integer, if } p \neq 2 \text{ and } d > 1;$$

$$(4.4) \quad \lambda_0 = 2^t, \quad \lambda_2 = 2^{s-1} - 2^{t-1}, \text{ if } p = d = 2 \text{ and if } F \text{ is of the first kind, then } 0 \leq t \leq s \leq mt \text{ and if } F \text{ is of the second kind with respect to } 2, \text{ then } 0 < t \leq s \text{ or } s = t = 0.$$

**Proof. Necessity.** Let  $A \cong FG$  as  $F$ -algebras for some finite abelian  $p$ -group  $G$ . Then  $\dim_F A = |G| < \infty$  and therefore  $A$  is

finite-dimensional algebra over  $F$ . The commutativity of  $G$  implies that  $A$  is also commutative. Since  $\text{char} F \neq p$  and  $G$  is  $p$ -group, then the algebra  $A$  is semi-simple. Besides,  $FG$  decomposes in a direct sum of fields of the form  $F(\varepsilon_i)$ . Therefore the algebra  $A$  is  $p$ -cyclotomic. Thus the conditions in point 1) of the theorem are satisfied.

Let  $A$  be of the first kind with respect to  $p$  with exponent  $n$  and  $m$  is a constant of the field  $F$ . Based on the isomorphism  $A \cong FG$  it follows that  $A$  and  $FG$  have the same canonical decompositions in direct sum of fields. From the decomposition

$$(4.5) \quad FG \cong \lambda_0 F \oplus \lambda_m F(\varepsilon_m) \oplus \dots \oplus \lambda_n F(\varepsilon_n),$$

and Theorem 1.7 we have

$$(4.6) \quad \lambda_0 = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } d = 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } p \neq 2, d > 1, \\ |G[2]|, & \text{if } p = d = 2, \end{cases}$$

$$(4.7) \quad \lambda_m = \frac{|G[p^m]| - \lambda_0}{d},$$

$$(4.8) \quad \lambda_i = \frac{|G[p^i]| - |G[p^{i-1}]|}{dp^{i-m}} \text{ for } i = m, m+1, \dots, n.$$

Let  $p \neq 2$  or  $p = 2 = d + 1$ . Since  $A$  has exponent  $n$ , then the exponent of  $G$  is  $p^n$  and  $m < n$  implies  $|G[p^n]| \geq p^m$ . Then from (4.7) and the first two cases of (4.6) follows  $\lambda_m \geq p^m - 1 > 0$ , i.e.

$\lambda_m \neq 0$ . The equalities (4.7) and (3.7) imply  $|G[p^m]| = p^{\beta_m}$ . The equalities (4.8) and (3.8) imply  $|G[p^i]| = p^{\beta_i}$  for  $i = m, m+1, \dots, n$ . Since the orders of the groups  $G[p^i]$  for  $i = m, m+1, \dots, n$  are non-trivial degrees of  $p$ , then the numbers  $\beta_i$  for  $i = m, m+1, \dots, n$  are natural. These numbers form the special characteristic system of  $A$ . Therefore this system consists entirely of positive integer. From Lemma 2.4 (the proof of necessity) it follows that the numbers  $\beta_i$  satisfy the inequalities of (2.6), except the first one. The first inequality of (2.6) follows from Lemma 2.5 for  $i = m, j = m+1$ . Therefore the special characteristic system of  $A$  is normal of the first type. The formula (4.1) follows from the first two cases of (4.6).

Let  $p = d = 2$ . The third case in formula (4.6) and formula (3.6) implies  $|G[2]| = 2^{\beta_1}$ . Since  $2 \leq m < n$ , then the order of  $G[2]$  is a nontrivial power of the number 2. Therefore  $\beta_1$  is a positive integer. Analogically the numbers  $\beta_m, \beta_{m+1}, \dots, \beta_n$  are non-negative integer and they satisfy the inequalities (2.6), which are the same as the inequalities (2.7), except the first. The first inequality in (2.7) follows from Lemma 2.5 for  $i = 1$  and  $j = m$ . Therefore the system  $\beta_1, \beta_m, \beta_{m+1}, \dots, \beta_n$  consists of positive integer and is normal system of the second type. This system is also the special characteristic system of  $A$ . In this way the requirements in point 2) are proved.

3) Let  $A$  is of the second kind with respect to  $p$ . Then if  $F$  is of the first kind with respect to  $p$  and the exponent of  $G$  is  $p^n$ , then  $n \leq m$  and when  $F$  is of the second kind then the exponent of  $G$  is an arbitrary power of  $p$ . Therefore when  $p \neq 2$  in the canonical decomposition of  $FG$  will participate only the fields  $F$  and  $F(\varepsilon_1)$  and for  $p = 2$  only the fields  $F$  and  $F(\varepsilon_2)$ . For  $d = 1$  the

decomposition of  $FG$  contains only the field  $F$  with coefficient  $\lambda_0 = |G| = p^s$ , where  $s \geq 0$  is an integer. Thus we get formula (4.2).

If  $p \neq 2$  and  $d > 1$ , then the decomposition of  $FG$  is  $FG \cong F \oplus \frac{|G|-1}{d} F(\varepsilon_1)$  so we have  $\lambda_0 = 1$ ,  $\lambda_1 = \frac{p^s - 1}{d}$ , where  $|G| = p^s$ ,  $s \geq 0$  is integer. Thus we get formula (4.3). For  $s = 0$  formula (4.3) is the same as (4.4). This is a trivial case in which  $G = 1$ .

Let  $p = d = 2$ . Then the decomposition of  $FG$  is

$$(4.9) \quad FG \cong \lambda_0 F \oplus \lambda_2 F(\varepsilon_2),$$

where  $\lambda_0 = |G[2]|$  and  $\lambda_2 = \frac{|G| - |G[2]|}{2}$ . We set  $|G[2]| = 2^t$ ,  $|G| = 2^s$ . From (4.9) we get (4.4), where for  $t$  and  $s$  we have to find limiting inequalities. The group  $G$  is decomposed in a direct product of  $t$  cyclic groups because  $|G[2]| = 2^t$ . Each of these cyclic groups has an order, not larger from  $2^m$ , since otherwise the algebra  $A$  would be of the first kind. Therefore the maximal order of  $G$  is  $2^{mt}$ . From here  $s \leq mt$  follows. The inequalities  $0 \leq t \leq s$  follow from the fact that  $G[2]$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . If  $F$  is of the second kind with respect to  $p$ , then we also have  $0 \leq t \leq s$ , but in this case there is no upper limit for  $s$ . However the case  $t = 0$  and  $s > 0$  leads to a contradiction, because  $G[2] = 1$  implies  $G = 1$ , so this leaves only  $0 < t \leq s$  or  $s = t = 0$  (a trivial case). In this way we proved point 3) of the necessary conditions.

*Sufficiency.* Let the conditions 1), 2) and 3) are satisfied. From 1) it follows that  $A$  is decomposed in a direct sum of finite number of

fields  $F(\varepsilon_i)$ . We will prove that there exists finite abelian  $p$ -group  $G$ , such that the canonical decomposition of  $FG$  is the same as the decomposition of  $A$ .

Let  $A$  be of the first kind with respect to  $p$  with exponent  $n$  and a constant  $m$  of the field  $F$ . Then 2) implies that the special characteristic system of  $A$  exists and consists of positive integer and it is a normal system of the first or the second type. From Theorem 2.5 it follows that there exists a finite abelian  $p$ -group  $G$ , such that  $|G[p^i]| = p^{\beta_i}$ , where  $\beta_i$  are the numbers of the special characteristic system of  $A$ . Thus (3.7) and (3.8) imply (4.7) and (4.8) and (3.6) for  $p = d = 2$  implies the third case of (4.6). Moreover from (4.1) the first two cases of (4.6) follow. Therefore the algebras  $A$  and  $FG$  have the same canonical decomposition from which follows the  $F$ -isomorphism  $A \cong FG$ .

Let  $A$  be of the second kind with respect to  $p$ . If  $d = 1$ , then for  $G$  we can choose an arbitrary finite abelian group of order  $p^s$  and so from formula (4.1) we have  $A \cong FG$ . If  $p \neq 2$  and  $d > 1$ , then for  $G$  we choose again an abelian group of order  $p^s$  and from (4.3)  $A \cong FG$  follows. For  $p = d = 2$  we choose an abelian group of order  $2^s$  and  $|G[2]| = 2^t$ . If  $F$  is of the first kind with respect to  $p$ , then we choose the exponent of  $G$  to be not greater than  $p^m$ . If  $F$  is of the second kind with respect to  $p$ , then for the exponent of  $G$  there are no restrictions. The inequalities (4.4) ensure the existence of such group. Then (4.4) implies  $A \cong FG$ . Thus the theorem is proven.  
#

## REFERENCES

- [1] BERMAN, S., Group algebras of countable abelian  $p$ -groups (In Russian), *Publ Math – Debrecen*, **14**, (1967),

- 365-405. (Zbl 0080.02102)
- [2] FUCHS, L., *Infinite abelian groups*, Vol. I and II, Academic Press, (1970 and 1973).
  - [3] KARPILOVSKY, G., *Unit groups of group rings*, Longman Scientific and Technical, (1989).
  - [4] MOLLOV, T., Multiplicative groups of semi-simple group algebras (In Russian), *Pliska Stud. Math. Bulgar.*, **8**, (1986), 54-64. (Zbl 0655.16004)
  - [5] MOLLOV, T., Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of the group of the normalized units of semi-simple group algebras of uncountable abelian  $p$ -groups (In Russian), *Pliska Stud. Math. Bulgar.*, **8**, (1986), 34-46.
  - [6] PIERCE, R., *Associative algebras*, Springer, (2012).